

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/346,283	07/01/1999		MICHAEL R. FLANNERY	450.202US1	2222
24333	7590	03/09/2004		EXAMINER	
GATEWAY	•	ES RICHARDSO	DIAZ, JOSE R		
610 GATEW		23 RICHARDSOI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL DROP		-0.40	2815		
N. SIOUX CI	TTY, SD 57	7049	DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\Lambda \mathcal{C}$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/346,283	FLANNERY, MICHAEL R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	José R Díaz	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 De	<u>ecember 2003</u> .	···					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 12-26 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 12-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		·					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					
6.5							

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 23, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 7, 12-18, 22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kusunoki (US Pat. No. 5,324,980).

Regarding claims 1 and 12-15, Kusunoki teaches an integrated circuit with a micromechanical element comprising a semiconductor support substrate (904a) (see fig. 20A and col. 24, lines 55-57) supporting a micromechanical sensor element (916) (see fig. 20F), a logic circuit (915) (see fig. 20F) and a semiconductor visual display element (922) (see fig. 20F), the sensor element (916) electrically connected to the logic

Art Unit: 2815

circuit (915) (see col. 25, lines 31-32), and the logic circuit (915) being electrically connected to the semiconductor visual display element (922) (see col. 25, lines 5-8).

Regarding claim 2, Kusunoki further teaches wherein said semiconductor display element (922) comprises an array of light-emitting pn junctions (see col. 25, lines 3-5).

Regarding claims 7 and 17, Kusunoki further teaches wherein said sensor element (916) is selected from the group consisting of strain gauges, thermal gauges, radiation gauges, and chemically responsive gauges (see col. 25, lines 28-31).

Regarding claim 16, Kusunoki further teaches wherein the input element (916) is selected from a group consisting of an inertial sensor and an accelerometer (see col. 25, lines 47-48).

Regarding claim 18, Kusunoki further teaches wherein the micromechanical sensor element (916) is configured to generate an electrical signal in response to an environmental or conditional change (see col. 25, lines 42-46).

Regarding claim 22, Kusunoki further teaches wherein the visual display element (922) provides a visual indication of a condition sensed by the sensor element (916) (see last two sentences of abstract).

Regarding claim 25, Kusunoki teaches an integrated circuit provided on a substrate with a unified input element and display element, the integrated circuit comprising: a moveable microengineered input element (916) supported by the substrate (904a) that senses a condition (see fig. 20F and last two sentences of abstract); a logic circuit (915) configured on the substrate and electrically connected to the input element (see fig. 20F and col. 25, lines 30-32); and a visual display element

Art Unit: 2815

(922) supported by the substrate and coupled to the logic circuit (see fig. 20F and col. 25, lines 5-7) to provides a visual image; wherein the visual image is a visual representation of the sensed condition (see last two sentences of the abstract).

Regarding claim 26, Kusunoki teaches 26 an integrated circuit provided on a substrate with a unified input element and display element, the integrated circuit comprising: a moveable microengineered input element (916) supported by the substrate (904a) that senses a condition (see fig. 20F and last two sentences of abstract), wherein the input element is a strain gauge (see col. 25, lines 47-48), a logic circuit (915) configured on the substrate and electrically connected to the input element (see fig. 20F and col. 25, lines 30-32); and a visual display element (922) having multiple light-emitting pn junctions supported by the substrate and coupled to the logic circuit (see fig. 20F and col. 25, lines 3-7), wherein the visual display element provides a visual image comprising a visual representation of the sensed condition (see last two sentences of the abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 3-6, 19-21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kusunoki (US Pat. No. 5,324,980) in view of Holm et al. (US Pat. No. 5,501,990).

Regarding claims 3-6 and 19, Kusunoki fail to teach that said visual display comprises an of GaAs light-emitting pn junctions and/or an array of semiconductor pixels having a pitch of about 20 μ m. Holm et al. teaches that it is well known in the art to use GaAs LEDs having a pixel pitch of less 20 μ m as display devices (see col. 1, lines 15-17 and 20-22, col. 3, lines 25-60, and col. 6, lines 1-2).

Kusunoki and Holm et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor as applicant's invention. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a visual display element comprising GaAs LEDs having a pixel pitch of less 20 μm. The motivation for doing so, as is taught by Holm et al., is to provide a high quality image (col. 5, lines 39-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Holm et al. with Kusunoki to obtain the invention of claims 3-6, 19-21, 23 and 24.

Regarding claims 20, 23 and 24, Holm et al. further teaches that it is well known in the art that an array of LEDs is used to form complete images containing pictorial (e.g. colors) and/or alphanumeric characters (see col. 1, lines 20-22).

Regarding claim 21, Kusunoki further teaches wherein the input element is a first input element, the integrated circuit further comprising: a second input element (see col. 27, lines 52-56, wherein Kusunoki teaches the limitation of providing a plurality of sensor elements in the single chip).

Art Unit: 2815

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 12-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José R Díaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1727. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JRD 3/1/04 lom homes

Page 6